

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/13/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/645,618	08/22/2003	Kenichi Iio	M1071.1862/P1862	8267
7590 10/13/2004			EXAMINER	
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP			HAM, SEUNGSOOK	
Steven I. Weisb	ourd			
41st Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1177 Avenue of the Americas			2817	
New York NY	10036-2714			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				- gr			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	•			
Office Action Summary		10/645,618	IIO, KENICHI				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Seungsook Ham	2817				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	s			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Faild Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the main reply received by the Office later than three months after the main reply received by the Office later than three months after the main reply received by the Office later than three months after the main reply received by the Office later than three months after the main reply received by the Office later than three months after the main reply received by the Office later than three months after the main reply received by the Office later than three months after the main reply received by the Office later than three months after the main reply received by the Office later than three months after the main reply received by the Office later than three months after the main reply received by the Office later than three months after the main reply received by the Office later than three months after the main reply received by the Office later than three months after the main reply received by the Office later than three months after the main reply received by the Office later than three months after the main reply received by the Office later than three months after the main reply received by the Office later than three months after the main reply received by the Office later than three months after the main reply received by the Office later than three months after the main reply received by the Office later than three months after the main reply received by the Office later than three months after the main reply received by the Office later than three months after the main reply received by the Office later than three months after the main reply received by the Office later than three months after the main reply	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thir od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this commu	ınication.			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25	5 August 2004					
<u> </u>		his action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with the claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and the claim(s) are subject to by the Example 1.	Irawn from consideration.					
	The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 August 2003</u> is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the	the drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International BurnSee the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have beer eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No. <u>09/800,322</u> . received in this National Sta	ge			
Attachme		4) T taka a dawa	Summan (DTO 442)				
2) Noti 3) Info	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ er No(s)/Mail Date <u>08/22/04</u> .	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152 	2)			

Application/Control Number: 10/645,618

Art Unit: 2817

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: "a reflex amplification circuit" as recited in claim 3.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mikami et al. (US '934).

Mikami et al. (figs. 1 and 2) discloses a resonator comprising: an electrode 23 having an opening 21 and disposed on a dielectric substrate 20; a plurality of electrode patterns extended inwards from a periphery of the opening so that a plurality of slot lines are arranged substantially radially 25 (column 5, line 60 – column 6, line 5); and coupled to a signal input/output unit 30, 31.

Regarding claims 2, 4 and 5, Mikami et al. also teaches using the resonator in a filter or communication apparatus (see column 1, lines 10-14, fig. 1).

Regarding claims 3 and 6, Mikami et al. (fig. 24) also shows the resonator used in an oscillator having a reflex amplification circuit (i.e., FET 81, col. 9, line 31 – col. 10, line 34).

Regarding claims 7 and 8, Mikami et al. (figs. 22, 23 and 25) also discloses a duplexer 40 comprising: a transmission filter 41 and a reception filter 42 connected respectively between a transmission signal input port and a common input/output port, and between the common input/output port and a reception signal output port (see fig. 23); and each filter (fig. 22) comprising a resonator having an electrode with an opening 21o-21k, 21f-21j and disposed on a dielectric substrate 20a, 20b; a plurality of electrode patterns extended inwards from a periphery of the opening so that a plurality of slot lines are arranged substantially radially.

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Ishikawa et al. (JP '821) and Hiratsuka et al. (US '855) disclose a dielectric resonator having an non-electrode opening part.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Seungsook Ham whose telephone number is (571) 272-2405. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 8:00AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal can be reached on (571)-272-1769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2817

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Seungsook-Ham Primary Examiner Art Unit 2817

sh